INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

MCDR INSPECTION REPORT

Ajmer regional office

| | Mine : | file No : RAJ/KOTA-1/I | JST- | -1 | Mine | code : | 38RAJ19001 |
|----|--|--|------|--|---------|--------|------------|
| | (i) | Name of the Inspecting Officer and ID No. | : | KQ10) Kotriwal B.L. | | | |
| | (ii) | Designation | : | Regional Controller Mines | | | |
| | (iii) | Accompaning mine Official with Designation | : | SHRI S B SHARMA, AGENT | | | |
| | (iv) | Date of Inspection | : | 07-DEC-19 | | | |
| | (v) | Prev.inspection date | : | 06-MAR-19 | | | |
| | | PA | RT- | I : GENERAL INFORMATION | | | |
| 1. | (a) | Mine Name | : | MCL | | | |
| | (b) | Registration NO. | : | IBM/7378/2011 | | | |
| | (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h) | Category Type of Working Postal address State District Village Taluka Post office Pin Code FAX No. E-mail Phone Police Station First opening date Weekly day of rest | | A Mechanised Opencast RAJASTHAN KOTA MORAK RAMGANJ MANDI ADITYA NAGAR 326520 07459232231 mclmorak@kappa.net 07459232146 Morak 21-DEC-77 SUN | | | |
| 2. | | ess for espondance | : | SR GENERAL MANAGER MANGALAM CEMENT LTD, ADITYA PO MORAK, DISTT- KOTA | A NAGAF | ۶, | |
| 3. | (a) (b) (c) (d) | | : | RAJ0013 895 20 21-FEB-97 | | | |
| 4. | Miner | cal worked | : | LIMESTONE Main | | | |

| 5. | Name | and Address of T | the : | MANGLAM CEMENTS LIMITED ADITYA NAGER -326517 PO- MORAK DISTT-KOTA KOTA RAJASTHAN Phone:)7459)44237,44262 FAX : | |
|----|------|--------------------------------|----------|--|--|
| | | Owner | : | K. C. Jain Mangalam Cement Ltd. P. O. Adityanagar, Morak, Distt. Kota KOTA RAJASTHAN Phone: FAX : 07459232156 | |
| | | Agent | : | S.B.Sharma Mangalam cement Ltd Aditya Nagar Morak KOTA RAJASTHAN Phone: 07459232262 FAX : 07459232231 | |
| | | Mining Enginee: | r | | |
| | | Name | : | S. B. Sharma, Full Time | |
| | | Qualification | : | B. E. (Mining) | |
| | | Appointment/ Termination da | | 08-MAR-91 | |
| | | Geologist | | | |
| | | Name | : | Vivek Rawat,Full Time | |
| | | Qualification | : | M Tech(Applied Geology), FCC(R) | |
| | | Appointment/ Termination da | : te | 13-JAN-95 | |
| | | Manager | | | |
| | | Name | : | Ramesh Chaudhry | |
| | | Qualification | : | B. E. (Mining), FCC (R) | |
| | | Appointment/ Termination da | : te | 31-MAR-15 | |
| б. | | of approval of Mining | | ing : Existing rule 11 MCDR1988 Existing rule 11 MCDR1988 Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 Modif.of approved Mining Plan Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 Modif.approved Mining Scheme MP modif under MCR 1960 | 03-FEB-93 18-AUG-97 22-FEB-99 25-SEP-03 18-AUG-06 04-NOV-11 13-FEB-13 10-JUN-16 |

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

| Sl.No. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|--|---------------------|--|
| la | Backlog of previous year | Nil | Nil | |
| 1b | Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2 | Nil | Nil | Entire lease area already explored |
| 1c | Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year | No Exploration proposal was given as entire ML area have explored. | Nil | |
| 1d | Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2 | No proposal | Nil | |
| 1e | Balance reserve as on 01/04/20 | 91.469 Million ton | 98.1123 million Ton | |
| lf | General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc | | | Entire lease area already explored. |

Development :

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|--------------------------------|---------------------|----------|
| 2a | Location of development w.r.t.lease area | | Block-I Block-II | |
| 2b | Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15) | benches in top soil, OB and | | n Mining |
| 2c | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio | 1:1018 | 1: 1.13 | |
| 2d | Quantity of topsoil generation in m3 | 2,53,828 Ton. | 49,388 Ton | |
| 2e | Quantity of overburden generation in m3 | 61,20,720 Ton | 28,98,404 Ton. | |

2f General remarks of inspecting officers on development of

Exploitation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|---|--------------------------|---------|
| 3a | Number of pit proposed for production | Block-I Block-II& III | Block-I Block-II& III | |
| 3b | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed | 6009005 Ton. | 25,60,228 Ton. | |
| 3с | Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production | 90% Recovery | 90% Recovery | |
| 3d | Quantity of mineral reject generation | 600900 Ton | 228271 Ton | |
| 3e | Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared. | CaCO3 =59-70% MgCO3 = 1.6 - 2.1% SiO2= 18-27 % | | |
| 3f | Quantity of sub grade mineral generation. | 1530180 Ton. | 174109 Ton. | |
| 3g | Grade of sub grade mineral generation | CaO = 34 - 41.5% | CaO = 34 - 41.5% | |
| 3h | Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM | Dry beneficiation by two stage double deck vibrating screen. | As per proposal | |
| 3i | Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects. | No proposal | Nil | |
| 3ј | Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches | Deep hole drilling & blasting with deck charging. | As per proposal | |

Less production causing less developement of mine

pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc

| 3k | Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches | HEMM Shovel Dumper combination) | As per proposal | |
|----|--|---|---|--|
| 31 | Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM | Yes | As per proposal | |
| 3m | Total area covered under excavation/pits | 15.86 Hects. | 2.72 Hect. | |
| 3n | Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year. | 1:1.018 | 1:1.13 | |
| 30 | Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year | Working Pit= 286.48 hect O/B Dump=24.04hect Others (Plantation)=1 17.73 hect | Working Pit=285.75 hect O/B Dump =21.03 hect. Others (Plantation)=113.96 hect | |
| 3p | Production of ROM mineral during the last five year period as applicable | 2014-15= 45 Lac 2015-16= 45 Lac 2016-17 = 45 lac 2017-18 = 44 lac 2018-19 = 60Lac. | 2014-15= 24,35,382 T 2015-16=23,77,543 T 2016-17=25,15,416 T 2017-18=28,57,453 T 2018-19 = 25,60,228 T. | |
| 3д | General remarks of inspecting officers on method of mining etc. | | | Interrupted production due to plant under trial and also Decrease production due to market condition. |

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|--------------|-----------------------|---------|
| 4a | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33) | dumping of t | As per proposal op | |

| 4b | Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps | Block-IGrid - 1400/600 Block - II Grid 5200/800 | Block-I Grid - 1400/600 Block - II Grid 5200/800 | |
|----|--|--|--|---|
| 4c | Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area | Three - within lease area. | Three - within lease area. | |
| 4d | Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16) | Out of ultimate pit limit. | Out of ultimate pit limit. | |
| 4e | Number of active and alive dumps. | Two | Two | |
| 4f | Number of dead dumps. | One | One | |
| 4g | Number of dumps established. | One | One | |
| 4h | Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there. | Proposals made in the Mining Plan | Yes | |
| 4i | Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps | No specific proposal | 140 Mts. | |
| 4j | Number of settling ponds | No proposal | Nil | |
| 4k | Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management | | | Dumps are managed as per proposals made in the mining plan |

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|------------|---|---------|
| 5a | Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling. | - | Area confirm by drill hole cutting sample. | |
| 5b | Area under backfilling of mined out area | 12.48 hect | 8.82 Hect | |

| 5c | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32) | For Plantation | For Plantation | |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 5d | Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated | Reclaimed = 125.15 Rehabilated 71.82 Hect | Reclaimed = 110.17 hect. Rehabilated 70.10 Hect | |
| 5e | General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc. | | | Due to less production and development, backfilling remained less in the year 2018-19 |

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|---|---------------------------------|---------|
| ба | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2). | Proposals made in the mining plan | Yes, submitted in time | |
| 6b | Area available for rehabilitation (ha) . | No proposal | Nil | |
| бс | afforestation done (ha). | No proposal | Nil | |
| 6d | No. of saplings planted during the year | Proposals made in the mining plan | Nil | |
| бе | Cumulative no .of plants | No proposal | Nil | |
| 6f | Any other method of rehabilitation | No proposal | Nil | |
| бд | Cost incurred on watch and care during the year | No proposal | Nil | |
| 6h | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx B x D | 31,88,050 m3 | 8.82hectx25 m= 22,05,000 M3. | |

бi Compliance on 31,20,700 m3 27,75,004 Ton @1.6 Density reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings бj Compliance on 4000 Nos. 5059 Nos. reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii)Afforestati on on backfilled area 6k Compliance on 27.33 Hect. 9.25 Hect. reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir 61 Compliance on No specific Nil reclamation and Proposal rehabilitation by backfilling (v)any other specific means. Compliance of i) 1000 325 Saplings бm i) ii) 0.36 Hect. rehabilitation saplings ii) 1.28 Hect. iii) By Afforestation of waste land within lease iii) By (i)afforestation Afforestation бn Compliance of 1.28 Hect. 0.36 Ha. rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha) 60 Compliance of By By Afforestation rehabilitation Afforestation of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation бр Compliance of Proposals made As per E.C. & Consent environmental in the Mining condition noise and air monitoring (core Plan as per quality - six monthly zone and buffer statutory and water qualityzone) provision quarterly

| | operations etc. | rehabilited. |
|----|------------------|-----------------|
| | closure | less area |
| | progressive | plantation and |
| | compliance and | issued for less |
| | officers on PMCP | MCDR, 2017 was |
| | of inspecting | rule 11(1) of |
| бq | General remarks | Violation under |

Mineral Conservation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|---|--|
| 7a | ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area | 60,09,005Ton. | 25,60,228 Ton | |
| 7b | Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical. | Mechanical | Mechanical by Excavators | |
| 7c | Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines. | Cement grade Sub Grade Waste rocks | Cement grade Sub Grade Waste rocks | |
| 7d | Any beneficiation process at mines | Dry Beneficiation through vibrating screens | Dry Beneficiation through vibrating screens | |
| 7e | General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues | | | Lesser production due to low market demand |

Environment:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|-------------------|---|---------|
| 8a | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32) | 253828Ton | Removal= 49388 Ton Utilization=49388 Ton | |
| 8b | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil | For Plantation | For Plantation | |

| 8c | Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33) | O/B dump =2.33 Hect Quantity=33023 5 Ton | O/B dump =0.6 Hect Quantity=123400 Ton | |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 8d | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use | Overburden =6120720 Ton Reject = 600900 Ton | Overburden =28, 98,404 Ton. Reject = 228271 Ton | |
| 8e | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) | Reclamation=12 .48Hect Rehabilitation =5.25Hect | Reclamation=8.82 Hect Rehabilitation=5.53Hect | |
| 8f | Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41) | Plantation of 5500 saplings /year &7.08hects. | Existing Plantation as on 1.4.2019 = 149104 Nos.&5054 (5.52 Hect) saplings planted during 2019-20. | |
| 8g | Survival rate | 80% | 81% During-2018-19 | |
| 8h | Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust | water Spraying | Wet drilling & water Spraying through water tankers. | |
| 8i | General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area | | | Less land affected because of excavation area has been reduced. |

Compliance of Rule 45:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks | |
|--------|---|-----------|-------------|---------|--|
| 9a | Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns | Submitted | Submitted | | |

9b Scrutiny of Details given in the Mining Engineer -1 Annual return Annual Return 2018-19 for information Geologist - 1 on Mining Manager - 1 Engineer, Geologist and Manager 9c Scrutiny of Area under Pit Details given in the Annual return on = 289.75 hect. Annual Return 2018-19 land use pattern Reclaimed Area for area under =110.17 hect. pits, reclaimed Dump Area area, dumps etc. =24.04 hect. 9d Scrutiny of No. of Plants Given Annual return on =5774 afforestation Survival = 81% 9e Scrutiny of Reject = Given Annual return on 228271 Ton. mineral reject Grade- 59 to generation 70% CaCO3 (Grade and 18 to 27% SiO2 quantity) 9f 338750.49 Ton. Given in the Annual Scrutiny of Annual return on Cement grade Return 2018-19 ROM stock and/or graded ore 9g Scrutiny of Ex- Mine price Given in the Annual Annual return on and production Return 2018-19 sale value, Ex. cost = 262.06Mine price and production cost 9h Scrutiny of Fixed Assets = Given Annual return on 8939669/fixed assets 9k Scrutiny of Given in the Deatils given in the Annual return on Annual Return Annual return mining machineries

| Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Violation | n observed | Show couse position | | |
| Rule NO. | Issued on Compliance on | Rule NO. | Issued on Compliance on | |
| MCDR17 Rule 11(1) | 20-FEB-20 | | | |

Date :

(Kotriwal B.L.)

Indian Bureau of Mines